

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,678	07/15/2003	Christopher A. Smith	343.7121USV	7064	
7590 03/20/2007 PAUL D. GREELEY, ESQ. OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO PERLE, L.L.P. 10th FLOOR ONE LANDMARK SQUARE			· EXAMINER		
			BECKER, DREW E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CT 06901-2682		1761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/619,678	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Drew E. Becker	1761	

	Diew E. Deckei	11/01	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1)-an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori- than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bell appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-25.	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🗌 Other:			,
		DAN BE	CKER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Also, it is not clear what is meant by the phrase "forward in said direction of rotation of said rotatable disc".

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Wallace et al does not teach an elongate region of the chute. However, Wallace et al clearly teach a cylindrical chute placed at an angle to the plane of the rotating blade (Figure 7, #116) which creates an elongate cross-section at its lower opening which tapers in the direction of rotation. Applicant argues that Hughes et al do not teach an elbow. However, Hughes et al clearly illustrate a chute with a turn, ie an elbow, in Figure 9. Applicant argues that DE 19548209C1 does not teach a chute with an elongate region. However, DE 19548209C1 clearly shows a chute with a teardrop-shape (Figure 1).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/19/57